#### THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

#### March 21, 2007

#### **Executive Summary**

# REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by Sarah Lester.

**Applicant:** California Statewide Communities Development Authority

Allocation Amount Requested: Tax-exempt \$4,600,000

Project Name: Vida Nueva Apartments

**Project Address**: 705 Rohnert Park Expressway West

Project City, County, Zip Code: Rohnert Park, Sonoma, 94928

**Project Sponsor Information:** 

Name: Vida Nueva Partners, LP (Burbank Housing

**Development Corporation and Community Housing** 

Development Corporation of Santa Rosa)

**Principals**: David W. Spillman, Jon Stark, John Lowry and

Chuck Cornell for Burbank Housing Development Corporation; Margo Warnecke Merck, Stephen Harper and Paula Cook for Community Housing Development

Corporation of Santa Rosa

**Project Financing Information:** 

**Bond Counsel**: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

**Underwriter**: Not Applicable **ent Provider**: Not Applicable

Credit Enhancement Provider: Not Applicable

Private Placement Purchaser: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.

**TEFRA Hearing**: February 13, 2007

**Description of Proposed Project:** 

**State Ceiling Pool:** General

**Total Number of Units:** 23, plus 1 manager unit

Type: New Construction
Type of Units: Family / Special Needs

**Description of Public Benefits:** 

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%

100% (23 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

**Unit Mix:** 1, 2 and 3 bedrooms

**Term of Restrictions:** 55 years

**Estimated Total Development Cost:** \$8,355,022

**Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:** \$ 185,957 (\$4,277,000/23 units) **Estimated per Unit Cost:** \$ 363,262 (\$8,355,022/23 units) **Allocation per Unit:** \$ 200,000 (\$4,600,000/23 units)

**Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:** \$ 200,000 (\$4,600,000/23 restricted units)

The Project has total project costs that appear high for the geographic area in which it is located. According to the Project Sponsor, the high cost is due to high construction costs, materials, labor and State prevailing wage rates. The Project Sponsor states that these costs are spread over the projects small number of units, which explains the reason for the high cost per unit for the project. The County has been designated as a Difficult to Develop Area (DDA) by the Tax Credit Allocation Committee.

Sources of Funds:	Construction	Permanent
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$4,600,000	\$ 0
Developer Equity	\$ 0	\$ 396,362
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$ 390,620	\$3,495,435
Direct & Indirect Public Funds	\$2,059,904	\$4,273,917
Other	\$1,304,498	\$ 189,308
Total Sources	\$8,355,022	\$8,355,022
Uses of Funds:		
Land Purchase	\$ 810,000	
On-Site & Off-Site Costs	\$ 510,000	
Hard Construction Costs	\$3,767,000	
Architect & Engineering Fees	\$ 185,350	
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$ 380,000	
Developer Fee	\$ 743,100	
Cost of Issuance	\$ 125,000	
Capitalized Interest	\$ 264,500	
Other Soft Costs	\$1,570,072	
Total Uses	\$8,355,022	

#### Legal Questionnaire:

Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. Legal issues disclosed by the Project Sponsor were vetted and were not determined to be grounds to deny the application. No information was disclosed in the application to question the financial viability of the Project or legal integrity of the Applicant.

**Total Points:** 98 out of 128

[See Attachment A]

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$4,600,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

### ATTACHMENT A

## **EVALUATION SCORING:**

	Maximum	Maximum	
	Points Allowed	Points Allowed	
Point Criteria	for Non-Mixed	for Mixed	Points Scored
	Income	Income	
	Projects	Projects	
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:			
Non-Mixed Income Project	35	15	35
Mixed Income Project			
Wifet meome roject			
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in	[10]	[10]	10
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project]			
,,,,,,,, .			
Large Family Units	5	5	5
Eurge Funniy Omio			
Leveraging	10	10	10
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	0
Community Revitanzation / rea	13	13	0
Site Amenities	10	10	5
Site i intentition	10	10	
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Service / miemues	10	10	10
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	8
Sustamative Dunding Methods	O	0	O
New Construction	10	10	10
New Construction	10	10	10
Nagativa Points	NA	NA	0
Negative Points	INA	INA	U
Total Doints	120	100	00
Total Points	128	108	98

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.